

## Mathematically Connected Communities Recommendations for Using PARCC Resources to Guide Instruction

Resource	Usefulness	Guiding Questions for Aligning Curriculum and Instruction
Claims Structure <u>http://mc2.nmsu.</u> <u>edu/PARCC/Claim</u> <u>s Structure page</u> <u>(ELC PPT).pdf</u>	<ul> <li>Provides broad description of CCSS-M performance goals and how students demonstrate they are on-track for college are career readiness</li> <li>Provides a big picture view of what will be measured on the PARCC assessment.</li> <li>Sub Claims (A, B, C, D, E) are designed to provide guidelines that help educators to focus instruction on key priorities</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The content assessed by PARCC is weighted differently.         <ul> <li>Sub Claims A &amp; E: Major Content (~50%)</li> <li>Sub Claim B: Additional/Supplementary content (~20%)</li> <li>Sub Claims C &amp; D: Reasoning and Modeling in the Math Practices (~30%)</li> </ul> </li> <li>What decisions can you make with the information PARCC has provided?</li> <li>How does your current instruction support students' development of mathematical practices?</li> </ul>
Model Content Frameworks (MCF) http://www.parcc online.org/parcc- model-content- frameworks	<ul> <li>Identify major, supporting, and additional content clusters by grade level</li> <li>Describe fluency (pp. 8-9) and communicates the fluency expectations for each grade level</li> <li>Describe important connections between standards to avoid teaching standards in isolation</li> <li>Describe key advances from one grade level to the next</li> <li>Describe natural connections between content standards and mathematical practices</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>How are the major (~50%), supporting/additional (~20%) standards, and mathematical practice (~30%) reflected in your pacing guide?</li> <li>What needs to be enriched in your curriculum resource to address in-depth focus standards identified in the MCF?</li> <li>What are the concepts and skills that need to be reinforced and built upon from previous grades?</li> <li>How does your mathematics resource provide a balance of conceptual and procedural development?</li> </ul>
Task Types http://www.parc conline.org/sam ples/math	<ul> <li>Describe the types of tasks that will be developed for each grade level</li> <li>Describe that the EOY includes only Type 1 items while the PBA includes Types 1, 2, and 3</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>How does our curriculum develop skills in written arguments/justifications, critique of reasoning, or precision in mathematical statements (MP.3, MP.6)?</li> <li>How are ensuring that students are learning mathematics through a variety of task types?</li> </ul>
Evidence Tables (PBA and EOY) http://www.parc conline.org/mat hematics-test- documents	<ul> <li>List the CCSS-M standards that will be tested on the Performance Based Assessment (PBA) and End-of-Year (EOY) assessment</li> <li>Provide descriptions of how content standards are integrated or split in order to create tasks</li> <li>List which math practices are connected to each standard for task development</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>How can you connect the ideas of the evidence tables with your scope and sequence to ensure concepts are aligned and topics are prioritized?</li> <li>What do you need to add or take out of your current scope and sequence?</li> </ul>
Performance Level Descriptors (PLDs) http://parcconlin e.org/math-plds	<ul> <li>Communicate expectations about the level of performance necessary in each grade or subject for students to demonstrate proficiency (command) of content</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>How does your current curriculum support the content learning and math practices outlined in the PLDs?</li> <li>How can PLDs be used to write classroom based assessments, learning targets, and questions for units and lessons?</li> <li>How can PLDs be used for students to self-assess their level of understanding of concepts?</li> </ul>